

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

PERIODIC REPORTING
(PROPOSAL SIX)

Docket No. RM2017-10

REPORT OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
IN RESPONSE TO ORDER NO. 4228
(February 20, 2018)

In Order No. 4228 (November 20, 2017), the Commission approved Proposal Six, but also instructed the Postal Service to report within 90 days on “the feasibility of replacing the long distance classification methodology proposed in this docket with one that explicitly uses the actual mileage traveled by parcels in the TRACS/PTR data sample to calculate long distance percentages.” Order No. 4228 at 16-17, 21. The Postal Service hereby responds.

Under the Proposal Six methodology, a parcel is considered to have moved a “long distance” leg of transportation if it travels from one Network Distribution Center (NDC) service area to another. As described on page 5 of the Postal Service's Reply Comments (September 22, 2017), the transportation mode definitions are determined operationally, not spatially. The “long distance” zone-related costs for Parcel Select Ground, DNDC, and Parcel Return Service (PRS) Full Network mail pieces are distributed to the zones based on the percentage of total cubic foot miles that each zone represents. The local, intermediate, and “long distance” non-zone-related costs for Ground, DNDC, and Full Network mail pieces are distributed to the zones based on the percentage of cubic feet, rather than cubic foot miles. Any modification to the “long distance” classification methodology would affect the costs by zone for these three price

categories.

Mechanically, it is feasible to replace the “long distance” classification methodology from Proposal Six with one that explicitly uses actual mileage traveled by parcels from the TRACS/PTR data sample. The Postal Service evaluated this possibility by applying several mileage thresholds in the Commission-approved cost model. The primary issue, however, is the determination of a specific mileage threshold that should be used to define “long distance” travel.

The NDC network consists of twenty-one facilities spread across the continental United States from Springfield, MA in the northeast to Jacksonville, FL in the south, and Los Angeles, CA and Seattle, WA in the west. The distances between city pairs with NDCs vary greatly with the minimum distance being 78 miles between the New Jersey NDC and the Philadelphia NDC and the maximum distance being 3,042 miles between the Seattle NDC and the Jacksonville NDC. Even the distances between neighboring pairs of NDCs vary greatly. For example, the minimum distance from the Seattle NDC to any NDC is 772 miles. The following table lists the 21 NDC facilities and the driving mileage between each of the cities in the NDC network.

No.	NDC	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	Avg	
1	Atlanta		722	473	784	1,393	910	710	339	351	804	2,176	375	1,123	884	834	702	2,472	2,698	1,041	566	654	1,001	
2	Chicago	722		307	922	988	330	282	738	1,064	525	2,009	539	404	797	778	452	2,110	2,068	913	295	717	848	
3	Cincinnati	473	307		957	1,190	596	240	448	818	601	2,182	501	704	625	571	289	2,377	2,409	790	360	517	848	
4	Dallas	784	922	957		776	695	1,183	1,122	990	549	1,432	460	937	1,550	1,500	1,238	1,729	2,133	1,708	637	1,351	1,133	
5	Denver	1,393	988	1,190	776		659	1,254	1,587	1,737	592	1,026	1,093	900	1,771	1,752	1,426	1,255	1,336	1,886	832	1,680	1,257	
6	Des Moines	910	330	596	695	659		596	1,026	1,255	196	1,680	635	242	1,113	1,094	768	1,783	1,814	1,229	349	1,033	900	
7	Detroit	710	282	240	1,183	1,254	596		596	994	765	2,276	739	680	607	588	262	2,379	2,345	722	528	527	914	
8	Greensboro	339	738	448	1,122	1,587	1,026	596		453	997	2,465	670	1,135	538	470	432	2,761	2,840	689	760	325	1,020	
9	Jacksonville	351	1,064	818	990	1,737	1,255	994	453		1,148	2,412	688	1,468	935	868	844	2,789	3,042	1,087	911	723	1,229	
10	Kansas City	804	525	601	549	592	196	765	997	1,148		1,614	462	438	1,198	1,144	862	1,802	1,901	1,360	242	1,090	915	
11	Los Angeles	2,176	2,009	2,182	1,432	1,026	1,680	2,276	2,465	2,412	1,614		1,798	1,920	2,791	2,729	2,446	385	1,120	2,906	1,832	2,675	1,994	
12	Memphis	375	539	501	460	1,093	635	739	670	688	462	1,798		826	826	1,097	1,047	784	2,098	2,362	1,254	300	897	931
13	Minneapolis	1,123	404	704	937	900	242	680	1,135	1,468	438	1,920	826		1,196	1,176	850	2,024	1,683	1,311	540	1,115	1,034	
14	New Jersey	884	797	625	1,550	1,771	1,113	607	538	935	1,198	2,791	1,097	1,196		78	381	2,894	2,860	158	956	219	1,132	
15	Philadelphia	834	778	571	1,500	1,752	1,094	588	470	868	1,144	2,729	1,047	1,176	78		329	2,875	2,840	226	903	152	1,098	
16	Pittsburgh	702	452	289	1,238	1,426	768	262	432	844	862	2,446	784	850	381	329		2,549	2,515	537	622	268	928	
17	San Francisco	2,472	2,110	2,377	1,729	1,255	1,783	2,379	2,761	2,789	1,802	385	2,098	2,024	2,894	2,875	2,549		772	3,011	2,038	2,815	2,146	
18	Seattle	2,698	2,068	2,409	2,133	1,336	1,814	2,345	2,840	3,042	1,901	1,120	2,362	1,683	2,860	2,840	2,515	772		2,975	2,137	2,779	2,231	
19	Springfield	1,041	913	790	1,708	1,886	1,229	722	689	1,087	1,360	2,906	1,254	1,311	158	226	537	3,011	2,975		1,119	371	1,265	
20	St Louis	566	295	360	637	832	349	528	760	911	242	1,832	300	540	956	903	622	2,038	2,137	1,119		849	839	
21	Washington	654	717	517	1,351	1,680	1,033	527	325	723	1,090	2,675	897	1,115	219	152	268	2,815	2,779	371	849		1,038	
Avg		1,001	848	848	1,133	1,257	900	914	1,020	1,229	915	1,994	931	1,034	1,132	1,098	928	2,146	2,231	1,265	839	1,038	1,176	

The wide variation in mileage between NDC pairs makes the determination of one unique “long distance” threshold challenging. For example, the distance between the Chicago NDC and the Cincinnati NDC is 370 miles. If the “long distance” threshold were established to be 500 miles, the costs for transporting parcels between these two facilities would not be classified as “long distance”, despite the fact that the original definition of a “long distance” transportation leg was NDC-to-NDC travel. As described above, the shortest distance between any two NDCs is the 78 miles that separates the New Jersey NDC from the Philadelphia NDC. If the threshold were established at that level, then some costs that are currently classified as intermediate P&DC-to-NDC costs would be reclassified as “long distance” costs.

Setting the mileage threshold for “long distance” transportation legs too high risks failing to appropriately recognize some shipments as distance-related (and therefore warranting the distribution of costs by cubic foot miles across the zones rather than by cubic feet irrespective of zone). Setting the mileage threshold too low, or even at zero, risks failing to recognize some shipments as local or intermediate (and thus having no correlation, on average, with the distance between the origin and destination SCF, which determines the zone). In these latter instances, the associated costs should be distributed by cubic feet irrespective of zone, rather than by cubic foot miles.

As a result of this variation, the Postal Service also investigated the use of geography-specific “long distance” thresholds (i.e., the use of different thresholds for different regions of the country). After careful examination of this approach, it was determined that the TRACS/PTR data are not robust enough to support different “long distance” thresholds for each NDC (or for small subsets of NDCs) because TRACS was

not designed to support regional estimates.

In summary, the Postal Service determined that it is mechanically feasible to use mileage, but impractical due to the disparate distances between NDC service areas. The Postal Service concluded that in order for a mileage-based methodology to work, it would be necessary to use different mileage thresholds, based on geography, for each NDC (or small subsets of NDCs). Unfortunately, the TRACS/PTR data are not robust enough to support this approach. Consequently, the Postal Service continues to support the “long distance” classification methodology presented in Proposal Six and approved in Order No. 4228.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorney:

Eric P. Koetting

475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 277-6333
eric.p.koetting@usps.gov
February 20, 2018